



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/703,385	11/07/2003	Robert J. Delaney	1322/152	1010	
25297 75	590 06/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JENKINS, WILSON & TAYLOR, P. A. 3100 TOWER BLVD			HONG, HARRY S		
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DURHAM, NC 27707			2642		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/07/2006	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/703,385	DELANEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Harry S. Hong	2642			
D:	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1		Idress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repop operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, m by within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) by cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. nce except for formal r		e ments is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specific and the spec	nre: a)⊠ accepted or drawing(s) be held in ab tion is required if the drav	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	• •]				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-7-03</u> .	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/703,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bredberg et al. (Bredberg; US 6,154,534; cited and applied for the first time).

The entire patent to Bredberg plainly and completely teaches the claimed steps for dividing an LNP database based on the geographic locations of ported subscribers. Refer *inter alia* to column 4, lines 15 – 45. The claimed plurality of different processing modules read on the LNP network elements of Bredberg which Bredberg further defines as GTT databases.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/703,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 6, 7, 11, 14, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bredberg as applied above.

Bredberg is silent with respect to the IP telephony environment. However, IP telephony is ubiquitous in the present state of the telephony art and whatever special services well known in traditional PSTN are naturally being applied to IP telephony to provide the same service as in traditional PSTN. Therefore, lacking criticality, it would have been obvious even to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the number portability feature of Bredberg into the IP telephony environment.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Column 4, lines 48 –65 of Chan et al. teaches the partitioning of

Application/Control Number: 10/703,385

Art Unit: 2642

the global LNP database so that different geographic number groups are assigned to

Page 4

different sections of the database.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7485. The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesdays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry S. Hong

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642

May 31, 2005